

**REMARKS**

**Summary of the Response to Office Action**

This Amendment responds to the Office Action mailed on July 12, 2007.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-11, 13, 14 and 16 as amended and new dependent claim 19 are pending in this application.

Claims 4, 7, 12, 15, 17 and 18 stand withdrawn under a restriction requirement.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-11, 13, 14 and 16 stand rejected.

The pending claims are respectfully submitted for reconsideration by the Examiner.

Applicants further request withdrawal of the restriction requirement because claims 4, 7, 12, 15, 17 and 18 all depend or ultimately depend from claim 1 or claim 9.

There is no issue of new matter as the amendments are fully supported by the application as originally filed.

**Interview Summary**

Applicants wish to acknowledge the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative during the telephone interview with Examiner King on October 4, 2007. The substance of the interview is incorporated in the following remarks. The Office action dated July 12, 2007 was briefly discussed. Applicants' representative proposed an amendment to claims 1 and 9. Examiner indicated that these changes would render the claims 1 and 9 allowable.

**Rejections to the Claims Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b)**

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 9-10, and 13-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,975,252 to Suzuki et al. ("Suzuki et al.").

*Substantially correct.  
Amendments defined our objections  
of record. STC 12/22/07*